SINGLE BENCH

CF 1202

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR (C.G)

W.P. (S) NO. 241 /2007

PETITIONER : Balram Patel
Aged about 50 Years,
S/o. Shri Enaram Patel,
Assistant Teacher, Janpad
Primary School, Singhitarai,
Distt. Janjgir-Champa (C.G.)

P.R. No. 128/07
Presented by Sh. Anil Dewangan
4/1/07

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS 1. State of Chhattisgarh
Through Secretary,
School Education Department
D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)

OATH COMMISSIONER
APARNA MALVIYA
CG 2073/96
Bilaspur Chhattisgarh
4/1/07

2. The Director
Public Instruction Department
Raipur (C.G.)

RECEIVED-COPY
4/1/07
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

3. District Education Officer
Janjgir-Champa District
Jangir-Champa (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUION OF INDIA

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

~~20~~ (21)

मामला क्रमांक W.P.(S) 241/07 **सन् 200**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	18.1.2007 Mr. Anil Mourya, counsel for the petitioners. Miss Sunita Jain, Panel lawyer for the respondents/State. With the consent of learned counsel for the parties, the matters are heard finally and disposed of. Order dictated in W.P. (s) No. 238 of 2007 in open Court, passed separately. A copy of this order be placed on record in other connected writ petitions. Sd/- Satish K. Agnihotri Judge	

Bablu

P.T.O.

(21) (22)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W. P.(S) No. 238 of 2007

Petitioner : Shyam Lal Sidar

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh & others

W. P.(S) No. 241 of 2007

Petitioner : Balram Patel

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh & others

And

W. P.(S) No. 242 of 2007

Petitioner : Umashankar Dansena

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh & others

SB: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Satish K. Agnihotri, J

Present: Mr. Anil Mourya, counsel for the petitioners.

Miss Sunita Jain, Panel lawyer for the respondents/State.

O R D E R

(Passed on this 18th Day of January, 2007)

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners as well as learned Panel lawyer appearing for the State/respondents jointly submit that the facts of the present writ petitions are similar to that of in W. P.(S) No. 6884/2006 (Bhikham Singh Sinha Vs. State of Chhattisgarh & others), which was disposed of vide order dated 12th December, 2006. They further submit that the question of law involved is also the same.

2. In view of the above-stated submissions made by learned counsel for the parties, these writ petitions are disposed of in terms of the order dated 12th December, 2006 passed by this Court in W. P.(S) No. 6884/2006 (Bhikham Singh Sinha Vs. State of Chhattisgarh & others). No order as to costs.

3. A copy of this order be placed on record in other connected writ petitions.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Bablu